

STSCP MEMBERS MEETING

VIRTUAL MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 30TH NOVEMBER 2020 AT 1:00PM

NAME		DESIGNATION & AGENCY	PRST	APLS
Sue Butcher	SB	Joint Chair / Executive Director of Children's Services, Middlesbrough Council	√	
Mark Adams	MA	Director of Public Health, South Tees		√
Karen Agar	KA	Associate Director of Nursing, Tees Esk & Wear Valley NHS Foundation Trust	√	
Alison Barnes	ABa	Councilor, Cabinet Member for Children & Families, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	√	
Phil Bond	PB	Detective Superintendent, Cleveland Police	√	
Kathryn Boulton	KB	Director of Children's Service, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	√	
Anne Brock	ABr	Named Nurse Middlesbrough & Stockton, Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust		√
Kay Dargue	KD	Head of Partnerships, Middlesbrough Council / South Tees Youth Offending Service	√	
Paul Diggins	PD	Business Partner (Planning & Performance), Stockton on Tees Borough Council	√	
John Dixon	JD	Head Teacher, Beech Grove Primary School, Middlesbrough		√
Joanne Dickson	JD	Local Authority Designated Officer, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council (for agenda item 8.2 only)	√	
Carly Dodsworth	CD	Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust (attending on behalf of Anne Brock)	√	
Deborah Fenny	DF	Detective Chief Inspector / Chair of the STSCP Learning & Development Working Group, Cleveland Police	√	
Jo Gamble	JG	Assistant Director of Nursing (Safeguarding), South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	√	
Lorraine Garbutt	LG	Business Manager, Tees Safeguarding Adults Board	√	
Jean Golightly	JG	Executive Nurse, Tees Valley Clinical Commissioning Group (also attending on behalf of AF & RT)		√
Debbie Harrison	DH	Service Manager, Multi-Agency Children's Hub, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council (for agenda item 4.2 only)	√	
Antony High	AH	Councilor, Mayor & Councilors, Middlesbrough Council		√
Angela Icton	AI	Senior Officer (Planning & Performance), Stockton-On-Tees Borough Council		√
Alisha Lynas	AL	Head of Service, CAFCASS		√
Julie McDowell	JMc	Inclusion Lead, Education, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	√	
Lisa Orchard	LO	Assistant Chief Constable, Cleveland Police		√
Shaun Page	SP	Detective Chief Inspector / Chair of the Tees Strategic VEMT Group, Cleveland Police	√	
Ann Powell	AP	Head of Cleveland LDU, Her Majesty's Prison & Probation Service	√	
Kinga Pusztai	KP	Head Teacher, Newcomen Primary School, Redcar & Cleveland		√
Darren Redgewell	DR	Durham Tees Valley Community Rehabilitation Company		√

Rebecca Scott	RS	Advanced Health Practitioner / Children's Lead, South Tees Public Health	√	
Mieka Smiles	MS	Councilor / Executive Member for Communities & Education	√	
Peter Storey	PS	Local Authority Designated Officer, Middlesbrough Council (for agenda item 8.1 only)	√	
Rosemary Thwaites	RT	Designated Doctor, Tees Valley Clinical Commissioning Group	√	
Danielle Todd	DT	Acting Head of Service, Middlesbrough MACH, Middlesbrough Council (for agenda item 4.1 only)	√	
Sue Walters	SW	Head of Safeguarding Children, NHS Tees Valley Clinical Commissioning Group	√	
Gary Watson	GW	Partnership Manager, South Tees Safeguarding Children's Partnership	√	
Ann Marie Fishwick	AMF	Minute Taker / South Tees Safeguarding Children Partnership Administrator	√	

1	<u>Introductions & Apologies</u>
1.1	Chair gave welcome
2	<u>Minutes & Matters Arising (Thursday 17th September 2020)</u>
2.1	<p>The following actions have been completed and can be noted as discharged, although they may be subject to further discussion under their respective identified agenda items:</p> <p>Page 2, Section 2.5b, Tees Strategic VEMT Meeting Update – agenda item 6 Page 2, Section 2.5c, Complex Exploitation Team Update Page 3, Section 4.2d, Ofsted Visit Monitoring Timetable Page 4, Sections 4.4b, 4.4c & 4.4d, Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland MACH – agenda item 4 Page 5, Sections, 5.1f, 5.1h & 5.1i, TPFM Quarter 4 / Teenage Conception Task & Finish Group – agenda item 11 Page 6, Sections 6.1a & 6.1b, VEMT / Police Partner Update – agenda item 6 Page 6, Section 7.1c4, STSCP Quality & Performance Update – agenda item 7</p>
2.2	Page 2, Section 2.2b, CDOP Briefing Paper / Meeting Minutes – although JG had provided her apologies for the meeting, GW was able to confirm that the action had been completed. SW noted that the CDOP Paper has been placed on hold given that processes are being looked at. A paper will be provided to a future meeting once the review is completed.
2.3	Page 3, Section 2.8a & 2.8b - chair noted that this action remains outstanding given that it has a timeframe of March 2021.
2.3a	Action: RS and ABr to provide a briefing on the progression of the new model provided by Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust to the meeting scheduled for March 2021.
2.4a	Page 3, Section 4.2b, Police Referrals – although there was no action emanating from this section, PB noted there had been no change from the update and as a Police function, there had been consideration of resources and commission demand. This has resulted in an external company becoming involved with a PowerPoint presentation undertaken at Cleveland Police's Governance Board. From this, there will be the restructure of resources internal to MACH, although the business case has yet to be finalised by DF with a Detective Sergeant to oversee both South Tees areas. There will be two Detective Constables, a Supervisor coming into post, as well as six support officers who are noted to be 'omni competent'. They officers will address Operation Encompass, strategy meetings and conferences for Middlesbrough with the influx of staff posted into the Middlesbrough MACH post Covid. There will be a soft launch in December with names of officers to be provided and details of how to contact them. Chair noted that she was not aware of this matter but confirmed that she was happy to be kept informed.

<p>2.4b</p> <p>2.5</p> <p>2.6</p>	<p>PB confirmed that the update for Redcar & Cleveland's MACH is similar as that for Middlesbrough with one support officer, four admin workers and a supervisor. There will Cleveland Police cover in all four areas. By January there will be twenty staff members including senior managers, which is an increase in the provision provided from June 2020. This is noted to be a huge commitment by Cleveland Police, which PB reiterated is being addressed by DF with support from People Services, Human Resources and the Unions. There has also been engagement with HMIC and the Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner in respect of this with a hope that there can be a similar investment for the areas in the north of Tees. PB clarified that there will be one Detective Sergeant covering Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland MACH's, two Detective Constables in the Middlesbrough MACH and one Detective Constable in the Redcar & Cleveland MACH. This has been a significant increase, which cannot be extended further with note by PB that he had wanted to identify the challenge and investment made by the Police for this.</p> <p>Page 6, Section 7.1c2, STSCP Quality & Performance Group Update: Chair - it was confirmed that there is still no volunteers identifying a wish to take on the role of chair for the group. KD has continued to chair the meetings on an interim basis with chair noting her thanks to KD for taking on this role.</p> <p>Pages 8 & 9, Section 8, STSCP Revised Arrangements / Structure and action points 8.1d and 8.1e – GW confirmed that action 8.1d has been completed and the document will be subject to further review the STSCP Executive Group given that it is subject to their agenda for their next meeting. Once this has been completed, it is hoped that action point 8.1e can be completed.</p>
<p>3</p> <p>3.1</p>	<p><u>STSCP Executive Group: Feedback & Actions</u></p> <p>It was identified that as the next meeting of the STSCP Executive is to be held on Monday 7th December 2020, it is not possible to provide an update to this meeting. The Executive Meetings and the STSCP Group meetings are to be aligned for 2021, so that updates and identified actions can be identified between the two groups.</p>
<p>4</p> <p>4.1a</p> <p>4.1b</p> <p>4.1c</p> <p>4.1d</p> <p>4.2a</p>	<p><u>Multi-Agency Children's Hub</u></p> <p>Middlesbrough MACH Update Report – DT confirmed that she had been asked to provide an update to the group commencing from the 1st July 2020 following on from the Ofsted findings. She provided a brief overview of the contents of the report and chair noted that there is mention of triage with PB confirming the changes for Police involvement. There are noted to be timescales for the first Task & Finish Group with partners attending the strategic meetings and the operational board through which information is being reviewed. The start of the reporting structure came through the introduction of the monthly scorecard from the end of December 2019, which can also be presented to these meetings for information.</p> <p>The practice guidance is linked to the Practice model and GW noted that there is work going on with the threshold document with changes to be made quickly in advance of the new year. It was agreed that an update would be provided to the next meeting.</p> <p>Action: GW to ensure that any feedback in respect of the updates to the Threshold document are to be provided to the January meeting.</p> <p>Chair asked if members had any questions for DT in respect of the report. As there were no further questions, chair thanked DT for attending the meeting to present the report.</p> <p>Redcar & Cleveland MACH Update Report – DH confirmed that the report she is presenting emanates from the 1st July 2020 with next steps identified and that she would be happy to answer any questions that members may have. She provided an overview of the contents of the report and chair asked members if they had any questions to ask of DH. Although PB did not have a question to ask, he did wish to note that it had been positive for DH to identify that there had been daily discussions involving Cleveland Police. DH confirmed that these discussions have started but there is not always a police presence, although as many partners agencies are involved as possible. There are also daily Contextual Safeguarding discussions, as well as those for Public Protection Notices (PPNs), which have a value even if they do not meet the threshold. As the Police representation at these meetings have been identified as intermittent, PB confirmed that he would address this with DCI Page including whether these meetings can be reciprocated in Middlesbrough. Chair noted her awareness that these meetings were yet to commence in Middlesbrough and was therefore surprised to hear that they had already commenced in Redcar & Cleveland.</p>

4.2b	DT confirmed that the meetings had not commenced in Middlesbrough following a request that they commence once the restructure was complete. The discussions for missing children / young people have commenced with some delay due to the need for triage to be completed. PB confirmed that the resources provided to the MACH are to assist in addressing issues identified within the MACH, whilst the Complex Safeguarding meetings will be addressed by Police Complex Exploitation Team (previously known as the VEMT Team). KB noted that there had been discussions undertaken for some time with an identified need to progress with this rather than having continued discussions. Chair noted that she would undertake further discussions with DT in respect of the process within Middlesbrough and wished to thank DH for attending the meeting to present the report.
4.3a	SW noted that both reports have proved interesting, which evidence that Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland appear to be working differently. Given that Redcar & Cleveland have the Signs of Safety approach, she questioned whether the STSCP should request a consistent approach. She also queried whether the discussions in respect of domestic violence, neglect and thresholds that are being held with the MACH should be undertaken with the strategy meetings. DH noted that for neglect, she could not be sure whether the figures for this year show a significant difference for last year but there is a need to identify what this means for the child. She suggested that this could be subject to the next report to clarify the differences including how this has been affected by Covid. SW confirmed that the strength-based approach comes over well with an awareness that Redcar & Cleveland are on a journey with Signs of Safety. There is time for reflection to clarify whether the process is making a difference so that we can ensure we are working as a partnership with parents and carers to provide a best outcome.
4.3b	RT thanked DT and DH for attending the meeting but wished to ask if there had been any issues already identified including whether Covid has had an impact. She also asked how professionals are completing assessments with children who are self-isolating. This question has come about given that for some Child in Brought to appointments, there is professional concern that the need to self-isolate is being used by some parents / carers as an excuse to avoid services. DH confirmed that for Redcar & Cleveland professionals undertaking assessments with children, the appropriate PPE is provided and visits to children are being completed. This has proved that there are no barriers to seeing children with additional resources available to speak with children / young people virtually, although any child will be seen in the first instance.
4.3c	RT raised the same question for Middlesbrough with DT confirming that the Middlesbrough process is exactly the same as the process DH had noted for Redcar & Cleveland. RT noted that there is a lot of support including counselling for health professionals and she queried whether this was the same for Children's Services and Education. DH confirmed that there is a staff support network in Redcar & Cleveland, which has been provided intentionally given that there was an awareness that it can be a difficult time for professionals. DT noted that within Middlesbrough there is a wider offer with meetings held on a morning and an afternoon to check on staff with further support available through and identified Educational Psychologist. This provision is available to all Social Care professionals who continue to complete home visits, as well as those working from home, as this can also have an effect on professional's wellbeing.
5	<u>Tees PMF: Quarter 2 Data</u>
5.1a	Covering Report – PD provided an overview of his role in the TPMF process with confirmation that the current information presented cover the trends for Child in Need, Child Protection and Children Looked After by a Local Authority. There is an analysis of trends over recent years and what this looks liked for both Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland. He then provided an overview of the contents of the report. Chair identified that quarter 4 data comes from the end of March with note that it is not clear from what has been identified, that there is any Covid related data. Although PD was able to provide information relating to the trend for Middlesbrough, chair wished to recognise that the Partnership covers both Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland with many professionals in attendance whose working footprint is the same if not wider. PD confirmed that there had been no change for Redcar & Cleveland in the six months since the end of March with a further spike in the Middlesbrough data, which is as identified in the analysis brought to the Quality & Performance Group meetings.
5.1b	PD provided further updates in respect of the Child Protection figures for Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland with an increase for both areas in comparison to the North East authorities, as well as the rest of England. He also provided an update for Children Looked After with the comparators noted with confirmation that contextually, it could be seen that there has been a significant increase year on year over the past four to five year. In addition, there has been a significant difference identified over the past six months, although this has come to an end in Redcar & Cleveland. Chair asked members if they had any questions of PD in respect of the information provided, from which there were none.

5.1c	Chair noted her preference to see the figures with note that the quarter 4 data is out of date given that it is pre Covid, although PD confirmed that he would include this information and provide to the STSCP Business Unit for circulation to members.
5.2	Summary Middlesbrough: This document had been circulated with the papers for the meeting and is for members to note.
5.3	Summary Redcar & Cleveland: This document has been circulated with the papers for the meeting and is for members to note.
6	<u>Tees VEMT Annual Report</u>
6.1a	SP confirmed that the he was the author of the introduction with note that the context highlights what is currently going on and what needs to be introduced. He confirmed that the Tees VEMT Strategy document had been revised based on Joint Targeted Area Inspections (JTAI). It is also inclusive of four key areas of work for the next twelve months including Communication, Contextual Safeguarding, Education and Transition for Young People to Adulthood. SP confirmed that JG chaired the last Tees Strategic VEMT meeting on his behalf with confirmation that the process is working well and now requires sign off with JG confirming that the deadline had been set for the end of this week. The document has been well received and the comments provided by professionals have been factored in.
6.1b	SP wished to clarify whether the strategy document should be presented to this group for approval with JG identifying her lack of clarity, although she reiterated that it has been presented to partner agencies and all four Local Authority areas. GW confirmed that it could be presented to this group for information once it has been agreed by Tees VEMT with a hope that it can be signed off at the next Tees VEMT meeting. Chair wished to clarify the position for this meeting with GW confirming that the Annual Report is being presented for this meeting and the revised Tees VEMT Strategy will be provided to a future meeting once it has been signed off by the Tees Strategic VEMT Group.
6.2a	Tees VEMT Annual Report - Chair noted that although the report has been provided with the papers for the meeting, she questioned whether members had had time to review the document in full. There is an assumption that members have read the document and requested members to note any comments and / or observations. KB confirmed that she had read the document whilst SW noted that she was collecting comments within her own agency and would therefore link a couple more days grace before she can provide her comments. With this in mind, it was agreed that members would be provided with the opportunity to reflect on the document and provide any feedback or comments to the STSCP Business Unit on or before Monday 14 th December 2020.
6.2b	Action: Members to review the Tees Strategic VEMT Annual Report and provide any comments and / or feedback to the STSCP Business Unit on or before Monday 14 th December 2020.
6.2c	Within the current discussion, there was note that there is no link between the document and the Risk Management Group (RMG) held in Middlesbrough, although GW confirmed that previous editions have not been inclusive of the RMG. This can be subject to future annual reports with clarification that young people can move between the Middlesbrough VEMT Practitioners Group and the Middlesbrough Risk Management Group, whilst other areas have their own processes to address the same concerns. A further discussion can be undertaken to identify how we recognise this within future reports. This discussion should also include the data for the number of children who have been or who are subject to the VEMT Practitioners Group and the Risk Management Group.
6.2d	KD noted her agreement with that RMG can be included in future reports but identified that the RMG has a dual purpose in addressing children / young people who are a concern as well as being involved in the VEMT Practitioners Group process. Despite this, the matter can be clarified within the report and questioned whether there is a similar process within the Redcar & Cleveland area. KB noted that the process would be helpful but her main priority from the report being presented would be the impact or the 'so what'. GW confirmed that the data / information from the Risk Management Group is not subject to discussion within the Tees Strategic VEMT meetings but chair noted that there should be a link. It was also identified that the views of children / young people should also be included in the document.

<p>6.2e</p> <p>6.2f</p> <p>6.2g</p>	<p>JG noted a conversation held at the last Tees Strategic VEMT meeting in respect of the challenges for the Annual Report, which identified a preference for more information with more of the 'so what'. There is also a recognition that the report is heavy in terms of data but a large amount of work has gone into producing the document by GW with limited support. It is planned that for the next annual report, a small Task & Finish group will be established to address the document to which GW confirmed that this was the case. He also identified that all comments will be taken on board from this discussion and chair noted that the current document can be updated and circulated to members prior to the next meeting or could be presented to the next meeting. KB confirmed that this process can be completed virtually and the sign off can be progressed by email if members were in agreement. Members agreed this process would be more appropriate.</p> <p>Action: GW to update the Tees Strategic VEMT Annual Report in light of comments / feedback received from members on or before Monday 14th December 2020.</p> <p>Action: GW to circulate the revised document to all members by email for them to note their agreement.</p>
<p>7</p> <p>7.1</p> <p>7.2a</p> <p>7.2b</p> <p>7.2c</p> <p>7.2d</p>	<p><u>STSCP Reviews / Sub Group Updates</u></p> <p>STSCP Learning & Development Working Group – DF provided a brief overview of the report with particular noted that there are still a number of Child Safeguarding Practice Reviews (CSPRs – previously known as Serious Case Reviews) ongoing, which will be scheduled for completion given that many of the practitioners involved are addressing many, if not all of the cases. There is a further Rapid Review scheduled for this week in respect of a Redcar & Cleveland child. DF also noted that she would be happy to take any questions from members. It was questioned whether it has been possible to progress the reviews and whether any difficulties have been identified. DF confirmed that timescales are subject to review by the Lead Reviewer and if members wish to have timescales identified, then they can be included in future update reports. KB thanked DF for this, identifying that it helps when monitoring the cases to identify whether there is any slippage and / or issues. GW identified that the timescale could also be included in the spreadsheet.</p> <p>STSCP Quality & Performance Group Update – KD noted that the report had been provided to members with the papers for the meeting with particular note that the group had spent a lot of time reviewing and discussing the Quarter TPMF data. The meetings have also included the agreement for audits, which is as noted in the report and the recommendations. KD also identified that she continues to be acting chair with the result that following on from the discussions identified by PD in respect of Child in Need, Child Protection and Children Looked After by Local Authorities, there are matters for her to address to with Rachel Farnham, Director of Children's Care, Middlesbrough.</p> <p>GW noted that this has resulted in the scorecard being produced, which is linked in with the STSCP Strategy and the Business Plan with a view to looking at key data being collected with duplication. There is a want for the Scorecard can be presented to the January meeting with a view to clarifying what is to be presented to this group with a summary of key issues. Chair queried whether PD would be involved with this and whether the two processes should be amalgamated. GW confirmed that this is the plan but there is the need to ensure that the two sets of meetings are in line to facilitate this. GW noted that exceptional reports could be provided to the STSCP Executive for discussion on key areas.</p> <p>RT wished to clarify whom the target audience is the STSCP Newsletter with GW confirmed that this is for all professionals across the South Tees area who work under the safeguarding agenda. He also identified that there had been positive feedback received from Redcar & Cleveland schools. Given the expanse of its audience, the newsletter may not be for everybody's taste but is being used as a vessel to ensure information is available. RT questioned whether some of the data provided through TPMF would be included in the newsletter but GW noted that this would not be the case due to the sensitive nature of some of the data. Despite this, the data would be included in the STSCP Annual report.</p> <p>RT also noted that there is reference to GPs having access to VEMT Information with confirmation that a letter is sent out to the GP of any child / young person subject to the VEMT Practitioners Groups. RT and SW confirmed that this would take this back to their agency / sector with GW identifying that there is an awareness that not all GP surgeries have access to Systmone.</p>

<p>8</p> <p>8.1a</p> <p>8.1b</p> <p>8.1c</p> <p>8.2</p>	<p><u>Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO) Annual Reports</u></p> <p>Middlesbrough LADO: DEFERRED – chair confirmed that although the report had been shared with members, she has confirmed by email, that she is deferring discussion for the report given that the current version is inclusive of some sensitive and contentious information. Chair in discussion with KA felt that it would be inappropriate for this information to be shared at this point in a semi public domain. Although, attempts had been made to amend the document to a point where it could be subject to discussion within the agenda. Unfortunately, this has not been possible and resulted in the email from chair.</p> <p>PS noted that he had felt that the report was a substantive item with the need to do it justice but given the issues identify by chair and KA, he confirmed he would rather give it the appropriate time to ensure that any questions or concerns could be addressed appropriately. He also identified that these are large areas for the Partnership to be assured on with note that given the number of live cases, he is assured that the information provided to partners would evidence that the vast majority of cases are being addressed appropriately. PS also confirmed that although the report will not be subject to discussion within the meeting, he thanked chair for allowing him to attend the meeting, so that he can become familiar with the meetings and the processes.</p> <p>KA noted that the chair had deferred the report given the content and as a result of positive challenge about it being so open with a need for it to be reflective. Chair confirmed the need to transparent with confirmation that it had not been a unilateral decision. KB noted that there is a piece of work being undertaken to address the subject matter and this needs to be taken into consideration prior to being represented to the meeting.</p> <p>Redcar & Cleveland LADO – JD provided an overview of the report with chair identifying an expectation that members had read the report prior to the meeting. She also made reference to the move to identifying referrals by organisation with the explanation provided within the document self-explanatory. JD confirmed that she would be very happy to answer any questions that members may have but it was confirmed that there were none at the present time. Chair thanked JD for attending the meeting in order to present her report.</p>
<p>9</p> <p>9.1</p>	<p><u>STSCP Annual Report</u></p> <p>Chair noted the document would be provided to Ofsted within the next focussed visit given that it is an important piece of work. JG noted that although she had not had the chance to fully digest the report, she had no additional comments to provide. She wished to note that it is a good document given that it is the first joint Annual Report since the formation of the Partnership. Despite this, JG identified that there should have been more consideration given to the document before it was agreed and RS noting her agreement with the need for time to be allocated to addressing it. Chair asked about submission, with GW confirming that there is no timescale identified but it has to be presented to the National Panel before publication. He confirmed that he would be happy to take any comments by email, although chair identified that the next STSCP Executive meeting would be expanded by half an hour to address the report, to which those Executive members in attendance agreed.</p>
<p>10</p> <p>10.1a</p> <p>10.1b</p> <p>10.1c</p>	<p><u>Training Update</u></p> <p>GW confirmed that more training is being developed on the back of the current success of the virtual training. The first element of this is the provision of Child Sexual Exploitation training, which is being facilitated by Barnardo's. As noted previously there continues to be good uptake of the ME-Learning, which has seen 14,000 applicants since May 2020. RT questioned whether there was a need for the virtual training trainer with note of her own experiences within single agency training. GW noted that this can be addressed with the trainer given that following on from the current and possible future situation with restrictions imposed by Covid, the virtual training will be the way to deliver training in the future.</p> <p>Chair noted that there is a need for an update to be provided to a future meeting in respect of the training and the trainer, although GW confirmed the trainer is to be invited to the January meeting of the Learning & Development Group where there will be a discussion with her in respect of any need for further support. With this in mind, chair requested that an update be provided in respect of this matter through the Learning & Development Group Update report.</p> <p>Action: An update in respect of the training discussion from the January Learning & Development Group meeting to be provided within the Learning & Development Group Update report.</p>

<p>11</p> <p>11.1a</p> <p>11.1b</p>	<p><u>Teenage Pregnancy Update</u></p> <p>RS noted that as a result of previous discussion and with the consent of the group, she had established a Task & Finish Group, which has since met on two occasions. From this, the actions provided within her report have been identified, although a timeframe has yet to be agreed. RS provided a brief overview of the actions identified with PB making reference to pregnancies, which could be as a result of child sexual exploitation (CSE). RS confirmed that the need to keep children / young people safe has been an element of the discussion with confirmation that there are appropriate avenues to address exploitation when it has been identified.</p> <p>RS noted her wish for member's opinion and whether she was taking this in the right direction. Chair noted that the Task & Finish Group had undertaken an amazing amount of work in such a short time with a hope that this will continue. PB noted his agreement with confirmation that it has been a good piece of work raised on the back of the figures presented given that the Tees is an outlier. He also confirmed that it is not about criminalising teenagers but ensuring that CSE is addressed appropriately when identified with a need to identify the males responsible. RS noted her agreement with note that it may be appropriate to undertake audits of Safer Referrals have been provided and whether they had led to multi-agency conversations in respect of possible exploitation. Chair thanked RS for leading the Task & Finish Group.</p>
	<p><u>For Information & Noting</u></p> <p>Chair noted that the following documents had been provided with the papers for the meeting but will not be subject to further discussion given that they are for members to note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LSCPR Research • STSCP November Newsletter
<p>A</p> <p>A1</p>	<p><u>Any Other Business</u></p> <p>Learning from CSPRs – CD confirmed that she had been asked to raise concerns about the embedding of learning from CSPRS particularly in relation to the ingestion of substances and neglect. This has been as a result of concerns identified from audits undertaken. Chair noted that the matter could be addressed at the next meeting, although GW noted that there should be a discussion within the Learning & Development Group meeting prior to that and then presented within the groups update report.</p>
	<p><u>Future Meeting Dates</u></p> <p>As it has been identified that some professionals have difficulties attending the meetings at 1:00pm, it was agreed that timeframe for future meetings would be amended to 2:00pm to 4:00pm with the electronic diary invitations updated appropriately. The date for the meetings would remain the same as previously noted and listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monday 18th January 2021 • Monday 15th March 2021 • Monday 17th May 2021 • Monday 12th July 2021 • Monday 20th September 2021 • Monday 22nd November 2021 • Monday 24th January 2022 • Monday 21st March 2022 <p>Any further changes to the times or dates of the meeting will only be made with members being notified prior to the change.</p> <p>Action: AMF to update the electronic diary invitations for the scheduled STSCP Group meetings for 2021 and 2022.</p>

AGENDA ITEM / PAGE NUMBER	ACTION	OWNER	TIMEFRAME
Page 2, Section 2.3a	RS and ABr to provide a briefing on the progression of the new model provided by Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust to the meeting scheduled for March 2021.	Rebecca Scott & Anne Brock	On Monday 15 th March 2021
Page 3, Section 4.1c	GW to ensure that any feedback in respect of the updates to the Threshold document are to be provided to the January meeting.	Gary Watson	On Monday 18 th January 2021
Page 5, Section 6.2b	Members to review the Tees Strategic VEMT Annual Report and provide any comments and / or feedback to the STSCP Business Unit on or before Monday 14 th December 2020.	All Members	On / Or Before Monday 14 th December 2020
Page 6, Section 6.2f	GW to update the Tees Strategic VEMT Annual Report in light of comments / feedback received from members on or before Monday 14 th December 2020.	Gary Watson	On Monday 14 th December 2020
Page 6, Section 6.2g	GW to circulate the revised document to all members by email for them to note their agreement.	Gary Watson	On Monday 14 th December 2020
Page 7, Section 10.1c	An update in respect of the training discussion from the January Learning & Development Group meeting to be provided within the Learning & Development Group Update report.	DCI Fenny & Gary Watson	Date To Be Confirmed
Page 8, Future Meetings Section	AMF to update the electronic diary invitations for the scheduled STSCP Group meetings for 2021 and 2022	Ann Marie Fishwick	Before Monday 18 th January 2021 COMPLETED