

STSCP MEMBERS MEETING

MICROSOFT TEAMS MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY 21ST JULY AT 2:00PM

NAME		DESIGNATION & AGENCY	PRST	APLS
Sue Butcher	SB	Chair / Executive Director of Children's Services, Middlesbrough Council	√	
Karen Agar	KA	Associate Director of Nursing, Tees Esk & Wear Valley NHS Foundation Trust	√	
Alison Barnes	ABa	Councilor, Cabinet Member for Children & Families, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	√	
Kathryn Boulton	KB	Director of Children's Service, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	√	
Anne Brock	ABr	Named Nurse Middlesbrough & Stockton, Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust	√	
Barrie Cooper	BC	Councilor, Middlesbrough Council	√	
Kay Dargue	KD	Head of Partnerships, Middlesbrough Council / South Tees Youth Offending Service	√	
Paul Diggins	PD	Business Partner (Planning & Performance), Stockton on Tees Borough Council	√	
John Dixon	JD	Head Teacher, Beech Grove Primary School, Middlesbrough		√
Alison Ferguson	AF	Designated Nurse Safeguarding Children & Looked After Children, Tees Valley Clinical Commissioning Group		√
Lorraine Garbutt	LG	Business Manager, Tees Safeguarding Adults Board	√	
Jean Golightly	JG	Executive Nurse, Tees Valley Clinical Commissioning Group (also attending on behalf of AF & RT)	√	
Nicola Henderson	NH	CAFCASS (attending on behalf of Alisha Lynas)	√	
Antony High	AH	Councilor, Mayor & Councilors, Middlesbrough Council		√
Angela Icton	AI	Senior Officer (Planning & Performance), Stockton-On-Tees Borough Council	√	
Alisha Lynas	AL	Head of Service, CAFCASS		√
Lisa Orchard	LO	Assistant Chief Constable, Cleveland Police		√
Ann Powell	AP	Head of Cleveland LDU, Her Majesty's Prison & Probation Service		√
Kinga Pusztai	KP	Head Teacher, Newcomen Primary School, Redcar & Cleveland		√
Rebecca Scott	RS	Advanced Health Practitioner, South Tees Public Health	√	
Helen Smithies	HS	Assistant Director of Nursing (Safeguarding), South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	√	
Rosemary Thwaites	RT	Designated Doctor, Tees Valley Clinical Commissioning Group		√
Simon Walker	SW	Detective Chief Inspector, Sex Offenders Management Unit, Cleveland Police	√	
Gary Watson	GW	Partnership Manager, South Tees Safeguarding Children's Partnership	√	
Ann Marie Fishwick	AMF	Minute Taker / South Tees Safeguarding Children Partnership Administrator	√	

1	<u>Introductions & Apologies</u>
1.1	Chair gave welcome.
2	<u>CDOP Briefing Paper</u>
2.1	KB noted her apologies for the paper not been shared prior to the meeting but confirmed that it would be circulated with the minutes of the meeting. KB noted that JG would provide a verbal update. JG noted that we currently have local arrangements for the Rapid Response meetings, which are hosted and coordinated by Redcar & Cleveland. There has been some significant work undertaken by the Project Group, which is led by Martyn Grey and JG involved as representative of the Tees Valley Clinical Commissioning Group. This work has led to a recommendation of joining the CDOP panel with Tees Valley and Durham / Darlington who have very strong arrangements. There will be work undertaken towards a position whereby the panel is hosted by and facilitated by Durham.
2.2	JG identified that there are two aspects of CDOP, with the Panel looking at all child deaths with a view to identifying any key learning, themes and patterns. Edward Kunonga previously chaired the Panel in his role as Director of Public Health. Following his departure, the role of chair was undertaken by Alison Ferguson on an interim basis with recognition that this was not sustainable. The other aspect of CDOP is that when there is a child death, the local arrangements are informed with a view to gathering information and look through the Rapid Review process to determine what, if any actions are to be undertaken. This will also help to identify whether the matter should be notified to National Panel.
2.3	As noted above, CDOP has been hosted by Redcar & Cleveland on a temporary basis with funding provided by the four Public Health bodies. We have not been in a position to move CDOP into the Partnership Business Unit and there is further work to be done around this. JG identified an additional impetus for merging with Durham / Darlington was due to the number of child deaths, which are noted to be less than the guidance identified. As Durham / Darlington are in the same statistical position, the bringing together of the two areas would give greater validity and an uplift in the rigor / quality of child deaths reviews, remedial causes and how to address them. With this in mind, it was noted that it is time to move forward given that we are all on a journey with the data and its analysis to inform what is to be done.
2.4	Given the information provided, the merger leading to the new structure would have many benefits with the panel providing increased scrutiny, as well as increased finances. As from September, there would be a need for more challenge and debate with no regurgitation of fact. The new process will have the same effect for the North of Tees, as it will have for the South of Tees, although there will be opportunities to bring change and learn lessons. KB thanked JG for the update and noted that it had been helpful. Chair asked if members had been involved in CDOP panels and KA noted her involvement in the past. She also noted her agreement with JG in respect of professionals understanding the information before them. Chair confirmed that the process comes with lots of reading in preparation for the meetings to which JG agreed.
2.5	JG noted that the CDOP process can also have a benefit to the parents / carers / family of the children they have lost with the need for professionals to be proactive for the sake of the family as well as professionals. It also helps to provide assurance to families and chair noted that JG had underlined the importance and the obligation to do justice to the child in order to learn lessons for the future. KB noted that the report in respect of the matter would be for members to note and any feedback from professionals would be welcome.
2.5a	Action: The CDOP Briefing Paper to be circulated to meeting members for their information.
2.5b	Action: Any feedback from members in respect of the document to be provided to the STSCP Business Unit.
3	<u>Tees Performance Management Framework (TPMF)</u>
3.1	JG introduced PD, who provided a brief overview of his role and Al's involvement in the TPMF process. He noted that the documents are to be shown within the virtual meeting but if this is not successful, they can be shared by email. The data set is produced on a quarterly basis, which his team coordinates with the four Tees Local Authorities and a number of other agencies with a view to identify the levels of performance. The frameworks is inclusive of a number of datasets with 4000 individual sections to enable comparison between areas on a number of different measures.

3.2	<p>Teenage Conceptions and Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET) were identified as examples with comparisons across the Tees, Statistical Neighbours, the North East and England. From the blue coloured sheets, it was identified that the comparator levels between this year and last year are detailed on the right hand side of the document, which helps to identify the direction of travel. PM confirmed the identification of the comparators, all of which identify a snapshot of what is and what is not happening. JG noted that the work has continued whilst there has been a high degree of change in many areas, as well as identifying that there is a lot that we do know, making the TPMF a valuable tool. The data available from Local Authorities is provided through an annual submission, which allows us to compare and contrast between the four areas. This also allows us to have a lot of information to know a lot about our children and the services provided. PD confirmed that from the information shared today, there are some key areas for Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland from an independent viewpoint.</p>
3.3	<p>PD provided an overview of the data information for both areas with many similarities between the two areas identified. Chair noted her thanks to JB, PD and AI for their presentation. ABA wished to note the Children in Care data in comparison to the statistical neighbours with PD identifying that the statistical neighbours come from twelve other Local Authorities. It was also clarified that the data for Teenage Conceptions, is in relation to actual conceptions and not necessarily births. RS questioned whether the British Pregnancy Advisory Service (BPAS) links into this. ABR referred to the data for 15 year old girls, given that it is identified within both data groups. This could lead to a concern that the information for this age group could be counted twice making it inaccurate. JG noted that there can be discussions about the data and descriptions but the data provided to this meeting, is provided because of what the four LSCBs requested. There is also a very long and tight governance process behind the measures, which can be validated.</p>
3.4	<p>Chair questioned whether this group needs to be provided with the framework in future meetings. KB and PD confirmed that a large number of the indicators are based on the statutory measures / returns that the Local Authorities have to provide. This also helps to improve the validity of the data but there are in addition, many measures that have been developed internally with other LSCB areas with Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) noted as an example. PD also identified that he would like to undertake a 'show and tell' for PowerBi, which is a visualization tool from the Microsoft 365 family. This process takes data directly from individual systems including a match up from Liquid Logic. Any professional using PowerBi will be able to drill down to any period over the previous three years of collected data. It can also be used to compare between areas, whether they be national, statistical neighbours or local.</p>
3.5	<p>SW noted his awareness of the process but also noted a word of caution in that there can be a time lag within the system, which professionals need to be mindful of, should they wish to monitor the information daily. Chair noted there is a need for performance information and as with anything else, it can be refined but there is also a need to evidence what we need and why. It was identified that there is a need for analysis of the cold data, as any work introduced would need to be evidenced by the data. PD noted that there is the link into the Quality & Performance Group, which is where he takes the data to, with the production of the summary before being returned to the Partnership. Members agreed with the suggestion, although chair questioned how the Quality & Performance Sub Group would fit into this group. GW confirmed that the chairs of the sub groups (the Learning & Development Working Group and the Quality & Performance Sub Group) would present a paper to this group noting a summary of their group's activity. From the Quality & Performance Group, the TPMF summary sheets for Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland could be attached as appendices plus any score cards that are developed.</p>
3.6	<p>RS asked if there is any scope to add to the dataset, as there is not a lot of information available for Best Start in Life. There would be some data that could be collected from birth that would impact on later data, as this has an impact on bonding / attachment. Chair noted her view that this can be developed with the work coming from the mini group, although JG reiterated the basis of the current indicators with a word of caution that it would be inappropriate to have too many indicators. GW noted the TPMF meets regularly to discuss the data set and this would be opportunity to discuss anything to be added. JG noted that a discussion on the additional requirements is welcome but also identified that any particular request has to be balanced across other areas to ensure that all measurements are of the same standard. She also noted that she is happy with professionals' desire to take the TPMF dataset further.</p>
3.7	<p>Chair questioned whether the dataset should remain with the Quality & Performance Group with a request that they provide the analysis. BC identified that there has been a lot of work undertaken, from which he identified a wish to monitor as it can be used to evidence the solutions required to make change in Middlesbrough with Teenage Pregnancy used as an example. RS confirmed that the matter is being addressed on a South Tees basis with a delivery plan inclusive of the Sexual Health Service.</p>

3.8a	Although there have been restrictions due to Covid, the process has continued to be launched in both Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland with further actions to be undertaken once the Covid restrictions have lessened. This ensures that there is one partnership, which meets and focuses on the needs of young people. Members questioned whether the introduction of the new plan has resulted in any increase or decrease of pregnancy rates but RS identified that it is too soon to be able to note this, although she noted that the change of provision has come in line to address the loss of the grant funding that was available previously. There is also continued involvement with the Maternity Service and BPAS, as well as the provision of live data being made available for the number of conceptions. This allows the targeting of services by ward level. Chair noted that it is not for this group to interrogate the data but to provide a steer as to what is required with the analysis through the Quality & Performance Group's reports to future meetings to which members agreed.
3.8b	Action: A discussion to be undertaken between PD and GW in respect of how the TPMF dataset and analysis is addressed within the STSCP Quality & Performance Sub Group and then reported to the STSCP Members Meetings.
3.8c	Action: The STSCP Business Unit to provide the Sub Group Chairs with the briefing template for reports provided to the STSCP Members meetings.
3.8d	Action: Briefing reports from the chairs of the STSCP Learning & Development Working Group and the STSCP Quality & Performance Sub Group to be provided future STSCP Members meetings.
4	<u>VEMT / Police Partner Update</u>
4.1	SW confirmed that he was attending in his role as the previous chair of the Tees Strategic VEMT Group and confirmed that Cleveland Police are going through a restructuring process. Within this, there is an identified Detective Chief Inspector for each business area (Domestic Violence; Child Abuse; Vulnerable Adults and Sex Offenders Management). DCI Page is now responsible for VEMT whilst SW is responsible for the Sex Offenders Management Unit (SOMU). Although this is a brief update, SW reiterated that there has been a significant reconstruction and DCI Page will be able to provide a more detailed update to the next meeting.
4.2	GW noted an update had been provided to the Tees Strategic VEMT Meeting held earlier with the key message being that the Police VEMT Team is to be known as the Complex Exploitation Team. Chair thanked SW for attending on behalf of Cleveland Police, as well as confirming the need for written briefings to be provided to future meetings. With this in mind, it was identified that there will be a meeting briefing template provided for each agenda item.
4.2a	Action: The STSCP Business Unit to provide DCI Page with the meeting briefing template for reports provided to the next and future STSCP Members meetings.
4.2b	Action: A briefing report from the Tees Strategic VEMT Group Chair to be provided to the next and future STSCP Members meetings.
5	<u>STSCP Reviews / Sub Group Updates</u>
5.1	GW confirmed that the partnership has two sub groups, which are the Quality & Performance Sub Group and the Learning & Development Working Group with a change of chairs given changes within agencies. Cleveland Police chair the Learning & Development Working Group, whilst there is a vacancy for the chair of the Quality & Performance Sub Group, which needs to be addressed in a timely manner. The Quality & Performance Group has met on two occasions with one meeting before lockdown and one meeting undertaken virtually. Following on from the action identified from the TPMF, GW confirmed that he would address this matter with PD. He also confirmed that there had been one audit completed in respect of exploitation. The audit process will continue, although some difficulties have been experienced with undertaking the process virtually.
5.2	GW confirmed that the Learning & Development Working Group has continued to meet regularly given the number of Rapid Reviews completed. These meetings have been completed virtually. In line with the discussions, there are four cases to be subject Serious Case Practice Reviews (SPCRs), although given the current situation there have been difficulties with identifying and engaging with consultants to facilitate the reviews. There are two further cases to be subject to discussion, which could result in further SPCR's. The Learning & Development Working group has also been involved in a number of pieces of work, which have been significantly challenging given the complexity of cases and variety of themes

5.3	HS identified that her concerns in respect of the delay for a local Domestic Homicide Review with an SPCR element. Although it is out of the groups control as to how fast the process is progressed, there is a need for the matter to be addressed. GW confirmed that a discussion has been undertaken and it has been identified that difficulties are being experienced with the procurement process. KB noted that she can address any concerns for this but she is aware of the difficulties in procuring a chair for the review. GW confirmed that the same issues are being experienced for SPCRs. The NEPO process has been utilised but to date has not produced any results and with this in mind, alternative avenues are to be looked at.
5.4	GW identified that there are still two Serious Case Reviews that have yet to be published. Contact with the Coroner has continued who has identified her position and chair noted that she would be engage with the Coroner on behalf of the Partnership. She also identified the enormous amount of work and time needed to identify the learning from cases. Chair also questioned whether there is any room for economies of scale. KA noted the amount of work that is coming at once. From the information provided in meetings and through the Multi-Agency Children's Hub, there is a feeling that this could be unknowable. GW confirmed that he is in the process of completing a spreadsheet of the current position for Serious Incidents and Rapid Reviews, which will be subject to discussion at the next Learning & Development Working Group.
5.5	As noted earlier, there has been a lot of work undertaken by the Learning & Development Working Group and GW wished to note his thanks to the group, as they have continued to work hard whilst dealing with the challenges provided through completing the meetings virtually. He confirmed that the list of reviews would be circulated but as this is confidential information, it should not be shared with anyone outside of this group. Chair noted the need to watermark the document and GW agreed. JG noted there are a number of colleagues who have similar experiences for North of Tees. Work is being undertaken across Tees to collate and refine the data for North and South, which when combined would provide a composite picture across Tees.
5.5a	Action: GW to present the Serious Practice Case Review / Learning Review Spreadsheet to the next STSCP Members meeting.
5.5b	Action: Members to note the confidential nature of the information contained and ensure that it is not shared outside of the meeting.
5.6	JG noted that for agencies covering the Tees area, this has increased their workload further and could increase again if one of the localities were to be subject to a JTAI. Although, it is positive that any learning identified can be shared jointly. In respect of timeframes for SPCRs, GW confirmed that the National Panel have been flexible, as they are aware of the difficulties being experienced in respect of engaging Consultants. Given the number of reviews and how this affects frontline practitioners, it may take longer for reviews to be completed. Despite the National Panel's understanding, there is still the need to show that matters are being progressed.
5.7	In respect of the TEWV review, requests for virtual meetings have continued to be received and continued requests for information from the agencies involved. ABr noted that Microsoft Teams is the most helpful method of accessing meetings. GW agreed and identified that there are other formats available to host meetings particularly where members can see each other. KA confirmed the professional bodies behind the facilitation and progression of the review. Chair noted her anxiety around reviews given that Middlesbrough continues to be subject to monitoring visits from Ofsted and any delay in progression could be subject to criticism. It was identified that there is a need to review how we progress cases, as well as questioning whether the delay could be around whether we have the threshold at the right level.
5.8a	KD noted that there is a lot of hard work going on in the background for commissioning and there is a need to look outside of NEPO to identify how we undertake reviews. Chair noted her awareness and KD confirmed that she would keep chair updated in respect of this matter. HS noted that there have been difficulties experienced from lockdown and LG has suggested a methodology, which could help to address any current difficulties. This ensures that some form of review is undertaken. JG noted her agreement with the information KD provided and identified her experiences, as well as the value of looking at themes and trends.
5.8b	JG confirmed that nationally there lots of things that have been adjusted, as there is a need for the learning to be identified quickly given that more children and young people are at risk. There is a need to progress matters however, this is taken forward and may involve the need to have discussions with regulators.
5.8c	Action: KD to ensure that Chair is provided with updates in respect of the reviews being progressed by the STSCP Business Unit.

6	<p><u>STSCP Business Plan on a Page</u></p> <p>6.1 GW noted the document had been shared with and agreed by members at the last Strategic Leads meeting and provided an overview. Any feedback in respect of the document is welcome and can be provided to the Business Unit. Chair identified that this agenda item also links in to the discussion for the next agenda item. Following discussions with LS there are noted to be issues that cover both North and South of Tees including the issue of Neglect with further examples of exploitation and suicide noted. There could be a Teeswide Development session inclusive members of this group with sessions to be scheduled. Chair identified the need for any members invited to attend. KB confirmed that the document presented helps in depicting the key priorities but also identified that one of the key priorities identified from the Development Day had been Domestic Violence. It was noted that this could be referenced and addressed under the category of Neglect. There is a need to agree a plan to schedule some development days to take the matters forward with wider partners. HS noted her agreement with KB in respect of the category of domestic violence given the reviews that we have.</p> <p>6.2 It was confirmed that the Partnership has three layers with this group identified as the second layer of the Partnership, which consists of some members of the Strategic Leadership Group and the wider membership. The first group is the Strategic Leadership Group, which will be known as the Executive and chaired by the Middlesbrough Council Chief Executive. The third layer is the wider Partnership Members Group who will be involved in the development sessions. Chair questioned who would have oversight and sign off the Business Plan with GW confirming that it would be for the Executive Group. The Executive Group meetings have been scheduled and the Terms of Reference agreed. GW referenced the discussion about Domestic Violence and identified that this could be included within the Business Plan. It was questioned whether members were happy for the Executive to oversee and sign off the Business Plan. Members provided their agreement for this and the need for Domestic Violence to be included. GW confirmed that he would liaise with KB in respect of this.</p> <p>6.2a Action: Members to note the contents of the Business Plan on a Page and provide any feedback to the STSCP Business Unit.</p> <p>6.2b Action: A discussion to be undertaken between KB and GW in respect of how the category of Domestic Violence can be introduced into the document.</p>
7	<p><u>Development Day discussion</u></p> <p>7.1 The Development Day was subject to discussion and noted within section 6 of the minutes.</p>
8	<p><u>Training</u></p> <p>8.1 GW confirmed that the taught courses from March 2020 onwards had been cancelled with a view for training to resume in September 2020. It was noted that the new ME Learning has been well received with 6000 people undertaking the provision over the last three months. This is a positive response given that the new provision came into being from May 2020. The contract is inclusive of professionals for Adult Safeguarding and both Children's Partnerships with two thirds of the courses available for professionals engaging with children. There are many professionals updating their training whilst they are not actively working. It was identified that a report can be provided in respect of the breakdown of professionals engaging in the e-learning and chair agreed for the information to be provided as a briefing, which identifies the salient points.</p> <p>8.2 It was questioned how well embedded the training is and LG confirmed that the evaluation process is embedded in the system, as well as noting that there is an administration function to the process. Chair noted the positive that the system has been engaged by so many professionals but identified the need to answer the 'so what'. GW confirmed that this is the challenge for all forms of training. The key message is that we have transferred to a new contract, which is working well. Chair noted a concern for social work colleagues who have not registered their CPD with Social Work England, which means that they would not be registered. With this in mind, there is a need to make sure that social workers are recording their training on the Social Work England website.</p> <p>8.2a Action: A briefing to be provided to future STSCP Members meetings in respect of the ME-Learning provision.</p>

9	<p><u>Safeguarding 5-19 Service</u></p> <p>9.1 RS noted that she would present along with ABr with a view to sharing the new model for Middlesbrough. Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust have been commissioned after the audit of contributions made into the safeguarding process. This had highlighted that in the majority of cases, the School Nurses were not being utilised appropriately and were not providing significant contributions under the old model. Under the new model, the service input will improve. Safeguarding is to be the priority and some of the indicators within the contract have been relaxed with an identified need to support the contract.</p> <p>9.2 ABr noted that from September Band 7 and Band 6 professionals would attend strategy meetings with Band 7 professionals being the decision makers, as well as attending Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPC). This differs to the previous requirement where the service would only attend if there is a need for them to be involved. There will be involvement by the service where a child/ren are discussed at VEMT, Looked After; Educated at Home or Under the age of 5 with an older sibling. For strategy meetings that progress to ICPC it had previously fallen to the school nurse to engage but under the new model, a health practitioner will help to identify who will be involved. This model is noted to be working in other contract areas including North Yorkshire.</p> <p>9.3 The main issue had been the clear distinction between the roles for Band 6 and Band 7 professionals and RS confirmed that this has strengthened the contract. This is also looking to enhance the health representation given that it had previously fallen to the School Nursing Service who would not always be able to provide the same input as other health professionals. In addition, RT is noted to be addressing the involvement of General Practitioners with a working group inclusive of Children's Social Care. ABr confirmed that if there were no health needs identified at an ICPC, then the service would not engage in the Child Protection process but could be co-opted in at any point.</p> <p>9.4 RS noted that updates could be provided to future meetings. She also identified that the new model was to be introduced from April 2020 onwards but was delayed due to Ofsted and the restrictions resulting from Covid 19. The process will now commence from the 1st September 2020 with key partners to be on board and will be subject to constant review. It was agreed that an update would be provided to a future meeting with note that a short briefing be provided on or around March 2021 given that this will be six months from the implementation of the new model.</p> <p>9.4a Action: RS and ABr to provide a briefing on the progression of the new model provided by Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust to the meeting scheduled for March 2021.</p>
10	<p><u>For information & Noting</u></p> <p>10.1a STSCP Website – it was confirmed that the new site will go live from the 3rd July 2020, which will have key documents included to improve communication. The new site is an improvement on the previous temporary website. There will be a briefing note provided with the link and chair confirmed that she would confirm the implementation of the new site within the Improvement Board meeting, which is scheduled for Monday 27th July 2020. Chair was asked about the publication of minutes of this meeting. Although there was no plan for the minutes to be published online, as had been the case for the LSCBs minutes, GW confirmed that this could be considered. There needs to be an awareness of what information is shared. It was agreed that this would be subject to discussion between chair, KD and GW with a view to identifying what information can be published.</p> <p>10.1b JG noted her support for the discussion in respect of the minutes for this meeting being published, although she recognises that no decision has been made at this point. The aim of publishing the minutes is to evidence that this group effectively replaces the LSCB and would provide reassurance that we are getting back on board with the meetings. The meetings do not need to be a verbatim account of the discussion and chair requested that on this occasion that members trust her to make the decision as to what is published given that there is a need to be transparent. Where there is a need for clarity on subject matters, then advice and guidance could be sought.</p> <p>10.1c Action: A discussion to be undertaken between chair, KD and GW in respect of the publication of the minutes of these meetings.</p> <p>10.2 STSCP Published Arrangements – the arrangements were as agreed and are now available on the new Website.</p> <p>10.3 STSCP ToR – the Terms of Reference identifies how the groups link together and the minutes for this group will be shared for comment and then subject to oversight by STSCP Executive Group.</p>

10.3a	Action: Members to review the minutes of the meeting and provide any feedback to the STSCP Business Unit.
10.3b	Action: Once the minutes have been agreed by STSCP Members, the STSCP Members meeting minutes to be provided to the STSCP Executive as a standing agenda item.
10.4	Executive Group Feedback – this matter was subject to discussion in future meetings.
11	<u>Any Other Business</u>
11.1	JG provided an overview of the concerns that were raised with her on a number of issues in an email from RT in anticipation of this meeting. Chair noted that many of the matters raised have been addressed through this meeting and welcomed the comments from RT, which she will take forward. KD noted that within the Tees Strategic VEMT meeting held this morning, DCI Page provided a comprehensive update in respect of Contextual Safeguarding, the revamped VEMT Team and how Organised Crime Groups are being addressed. There was also confirmation that Redcar & Cleveland have developed a CCE Toolkit, which was well received. DCI Page is also looking to expand the work of the Tees Strategic VEMT Group. It was questioned whether RT's email could be provided to the STSCP Business Unit, although JG confirmed that she had been asked to raise RT's concerns and had agreed to represent her views. Chair noted that RT's comments are relevant and it was agreed that contact would be made with RT.
11.2	Chair noted that before the meeting closes, what difference(s) this meeting has made for a child. Members noted their approval of the question and identified that we have moved forward with the Tees Performance Management Framework. This will focus our minds on the activities that make a difference to the quality of children's lives. JG confirmed that we are getting to know more about what we are doing, which is quite a lot and about what needs to be done. It is also helpful to have meetings that have the right balance of members in order to address any issues and avoid drift. LG confirmed that it is also helpful to have Adult Safeguarding involved in these meetings, as there is a lot of crossover into the Adult Safeguarding arena. GW noted that it is evident that we are sharing the learning, which will have a positive impact on children and young people. KB noted that there is an awareness that Teenage Pregnancy is high in the area and how it is to be addressed.
11.3	Chair thanked all members for their attendance and involvement.

AGENDA ITEM / PAGE NUMBER	ACTION	OWNER	TIMEFRAME
Page 2, Section 2.5a	The CDOP Briefing Paper to be circulated to meeting members for their information.	STSCP Business Unit	As Soon As Possible
Page 2, Section 2.5b	Any feedback from members in respect of the CDOP Briefing Paper to be provided to the STSCP Business Unit.	All Members	Ongoing
Page 4, Section 3.8b	A discussion to be undertaken between PD and GW in respect of how the TPMF dataset and analysis is addressed within the STSCP Quality & Performance Sub Group and then reported to the STSCP Members Meetings.	Gary Watson & Paul Diggins	Before Next STSCP Members meeting
Page 4, Section 3.8c	The STSCP Business Unit to provide the Sub Group Chairs with the briefing template for reports provided to the STSCP Members meetings.	Gary Watson & Ann Marie Fishwick	Before Next STSCP Meeting
Page 4, Section 3.8d	Briefing reports from the chairs of the STSCP Learning & Development Working Group and the STSCP Quality & Performance Sub Group to be provided to future STSCP Members meetings.	Deborah Fenny & New Q&P Chair	Ongoing
Page 4, Section 4.2a	The STSCP Business Unit to provide DCI Page with the meeting briefing template for reports provided to the next and future STSCP Members meetings.	Gary Watson & Ann Marie Fishwick	Before Next STSCP Members Meeting
Page 4, Section 4.2b	A briefing report from the Tees Strategic VEMT Group Chair to be provided to the next and future STSCP Members meetings.	Shaun Page	Ongoing
Page 5, Section 5.5a	GW to present the Serious Practice Case Review / Learning Review Spreadsheet to the next STSCP Members meeting.	Gary Watson	Before Next STSCP Members Meeting
Page 5, Section 5.5b	Members to note the confidential nature of the information contained and ensure that it is not shared outside of the meeting.	All Members	Ongoing
Page 5, Section 5.8c	KD to ensure that Chair is provided with updates in respect of the reviews being progressed by the STSCP Business Unit.	Kay Dargue	Ongoing

Page 6, Section 6.2a	Members to note the contents of the Business Plan on a Page and provide any feedback to the STSCP Business Unit.	All Members	Ongoing
Page 6, Section 6.2b	A discussion to be undertaken between KB and GW in respect of how the category of Domestic Violence can be introduced in the Business Plan on a Page document.	Kathryn Boulton & Gary Watson	Before Next STSCP Members Meeting
Page 6, Section 8.2a	A briefing to be provided to future STSCP Members meetings in respect of the ME-Learning provision.	Lorraine Garbutt	Ongoing
Page 7, Section 9.4a	RS and ABr to provide a briefing on the progression of the new model provided by Harrogate & District NHS Foundation Trust to the meeting scheduled for March 2021.	Rebecca Scott & Anne Brock	March 2021
Page 7, Section 10.1c	A discussion to be undertaken between chair, KD and GW in respect of the publication of the minutes of these meetings.	Sue Butcher, Kay Dargue & Gary Watson	Before Next STSCP Members Meeting
Pages 8, Section 10.3a	Members to review the minutes of the meeting and provide any feedback to the STSCP Business Unit.	All Members	Before Next STSCP Members Meeting
Page 8, Section 10.3b	Once the minutes have been agreed by STSCP Members, the STSCP Members meeting minutes to be provided to the STSCP Executive as a standing agenda item.	Gary Watson & Ann Marie Fishwick	Before Next STSCP Executive Meeting